THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF LAW, JUSTICE AND COMPANY AFFAIRS (SHRI H. R. BHARDWAJ): (a) and (b) It has been decided that for the purposes of determining the Judge strength of the High Courts, the average institution and the pendency of main cases during the last 5 years may be considered by taking into account the national average or the average of disposal per Judge in that High Court whichever is higher. If the disposal per Judge in this concerned High Court is below even the national average then instead of increasing the strength, the concerned High Court may take steps for improvement in the rate of disposal of cases. If the average disposal of the concerned High Court is above the national average then increase in Judge strength may accordingly be considered. The strength of the Additional Judges be reviewed only if, there is an increase in the arrears of the pending cases during the last 5 years and the disposal per Judge is above the national average.

(c) There is no proposal to grant extension to High Court Judges for disposal of pending matters. The emphasis is on fliling up of vacant posts and increasing the strength of Judges, if necessary.

**Office of Registrar of companies in Delhi and Haryana**

**743. DR. RANDIR SINGH:**

**SHRI RAM NATH KOVIND:**

Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) what is the total number of Offices of Registrar of Companies (ROCs) in the country, particularly in Delhi and Haryana;

(b) what is the strength of the employees who have been employed in offices of Registrar of Companies and also comparative figures of Staff in Bombay, Calcutta and Madras;

(c) what is the total area in square feet that has been allotted to these offices, especifically in Delhi and Haryana, the number of Companies in the year of allotment of said areas and the present number of companies registered under the Rules with the Registrar of Companies in Delhi and Haryana and the strength of staff in 1978-79, till date;

(d) whether ROCs is a Service Department or a Revenue Department; and

(e) what is the number of posts lying vacant in the offices of ROCs and by when these are likely to be filled up by Government?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF LAW, JUSTICE, AND COMPANY AFFAIRS (SHRI H. R. BHARDWAJ): (a) The total number of Offices of ROCs in the contry is 19 including one ROC office for both Delhi and Haryana.

(b) As per Statement (See below)

(c) As per Statement—II and Statement—III (See below)

(d) Office of ROC is basically a Service Department but in the process it also earns revenue.

(e) As per Statement-I (See below).

The process for filling up vacant posts in the offices of ROCs is going on and these are likely to be filled up by the Government shortly.

**Statement—I**

*List of the Staff of the Registrar of Companies In New Delhi, Bombay, Madras and calcutt*

| S. No. | Name of the ROC Office at | Sanctioned Strength of Employees as on date (Part b) | Staff Strength as in 1978-79 (Part c) | Number of vacant Posts (Part e) |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 01. | Delhi & Haryana | 127 | 90 | 19 |
| 02. | Bombay | 229 | 168 | 24 |
| 03. | Calcutta | 224 | 199 | 37 |
| 04. | Madras | 73 | 71 | 08 |
| 05. | Jaipur | 21 | .. | 01 |
| 06 | Jalandhar | 30 | .. | 03 |
| 07. | Kanpur | 41 | .. | 05 |
| 08. | Srinagar | 10 | .. | 02 |
| 09. | Cuttack | 15 | .. | 02 |
| 10. | Patna | 18 | .. | 03 |
| 11. | Shillong | 18 | .. | .. |
| 12. | Ahmedabad | 45 | .. | 02 |
| 13. | Goa | 11 | .. | .. |
| 14. | Gwalior | 23 | .. | 02 |
| 15. | Bangalore | 29 | .. | .. |
| 16. | Coimbatore | 18 | .. | 01 |
| 17. | Ernakulam | 29 | .. | 02 |
| 18. | Hyderabad | 24 | .. | .. |
| 19. | Pandicherry | 11 | .. | .. |

**Statement—II**

*Area allotted to each office of the Registear of the Companies at Delhi, Bombay, Madras & Calcutta*

| S. No. | Name of RCC Office at | Areas (Square feet) Allotted to the ROC Office in the year (s) | | Number of companies in the year of allotment of the area and present number |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | Years | Area (Sq. ft.) | |
| | | | (Part c) | |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 1. | Delhi & Haryana | 1978-79 | 8,345 | 9,201 |
| | | 1986 | 9,176 | 20,905 |
| | | 1995 | 9,176 | 64,189 |

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 2. | Bombay | 1956 | 8,000 | 8,000 |
| | | 1977 | 11,000 | 20,000 |
| | | 1995 | 11.000 | 85,717 |
| 3. | Calcutta | 1984 | 19,548 | 26,782 |
| | | 1995 | 19,548 | 47,390 |
| 4. | Madras | 1967 | 5,245 | 3,158 |
| | | 1995 | 6,160 | 24,414 |
| 5. | Jaipur | 1987 | 2,500 | 3,881 |
| | | 1995 | 2.750 | 9,615 |
| 6. | Jalandhar | 1986 | 5,176 | 7,017 |
| | | 1995 | 5,176 | 16,026 |
| 7. | Kanpur | 1956 | 2,486 | 2,725 |
| | | 1995 | 4.786 | 15,010 |
| 8. | Srinagar | 1991 | 1,000 | 1,200 |
| | | 1995 | 2,500 | 1,421 |
| 9. | Cuttack | 1993 | 2,383 | 3,046 |
| | | 1995 | 2,383 | 3,513 |
| 10. | Patna | 1957 | 3,600 | 660 |
| | | 1995 | 3,600 | 6,379 |
| 11. | Shillong | 1971 | 1,900 | 412 |
| | | 1995 | 1,900 | 3,344 |
| 12. | Ahmedabad | 1960 | 1,200 | 950 |
| | | 1971 | 3,280 | 1,870 |
| | | 1978 | 3,720 | 2,460 |
| | | 1995 | 3,720 | 23,604 |
| 13. | Goa | 1969 | 940 | 094 |
| | | 1995 | 940 | 1,779 |
| 14. | Gwalior | In the year of allotment | 2,061 | 843 |
| | | 1995 | 4,140 | 9,078 |
| 15. | Bangalore | 1995 | 5,201 | 15,901 |
| 16. | Coimbatore | 1989 | 2,272 | 2,500 |
| | | 1995 | 2,272 | 5,831 |
| 17. | Ernakulam | In the year of allotment | 4,200 | 1,828 |
| | | 1995 | 4.200 | 8,707 |

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 18. | Hyderabad . . . . . | 1979 | 4,500 | 1,830 |
| | | 1995 | 5,000 | 16,372 |
| 19. | Pondicherry . . . . . | 1994 | 1,850 | 938 |
| | | 1995 | 1,850 | 1,061 |

**Statement—III**

*Details regarding strength of staff in the office of registrar of companies, N.C.T. of Delhi and Haryana for the year* 1978 *and as on today*

| Designation | 1978 | 1979 | 1981 | 1982 | 1988-89 | 1994-95 | In position | Vacant post as on today | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Registrar . . . | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | — | |
| Addl. Registrar . . | — | — | — | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | — | |
| Co. Prosecuter-I . . | — | — | — | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | — | |
| Co. Prosecuter-II . . | 1 | 1 | 1 | — (abolished) | — | — | — | — | |
| Asstt. Registrar . . | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | — | |
| Hindi Officer . . . | — | — | — | — | — | 1 | 1 | — | |
| Sr. Tech. Asstt. . . | 4 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 1 | 1 | |
| Co. Prosecutor-III . | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 1 | |
| Supdt.-I . . . | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | — | |
| Suput.-II . . . | — | — | — | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | — | *(12 posts includes 3 ad-hoc promottee also) |
| Jr. Tech. Asstt. . . | 8 | 9 | 10 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 12 | 4 | |
| Stat. Asstt. . . . | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | |
| Sr. Steno . . . | — | — | — | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | — | |
| Jr. Steno . . . | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 1 | 4 | |
| Hindi Translator . . | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | — | |
| UDC . . . . | 20 | 21 | 21 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 23 | 7 | (include 3 vacancies araising out of ad-hoc promotion.) |
| LDC . . . | 31 | 33 | 33 | 38 | 38 | 38 | 37 | 1 | |
| Daftri . . . . | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | — | |
| Peon . . . . | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | — | |
| Chowkidar . . . | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | — | |
| Sweeper . . . | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | — | |
| | 90 | 95 | 96 | 126 | 126 | 127 | 108 | 19 | |